

# Validation of water vapour profiles from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE)

M. R. Carleer<sup>1</sup>, C. D. Boone<sup>2</sup>, K. A. Walker<sup>2,3</sup>, P. F. Bernath<sup>2,4</sup>, K. Strong<sup>3</sup>, R. J. Sica<sup>5</sup>, C. E. Randall<sup>6</sup>, H. Vömel<sup>7</sup>, J. Kar<sup>3</sup>, M. Höpfner<sup>8</sup>, M. Milz<sup>8,\*</sup>, T. von Clarmann<sup>8</sup>, R. Kivi<sup>9</sup>, J. Valverde-Canossa<sup>10</sup>, C. E. Sioris<sup>11</sup>, M. R. M. Izawa<sup>12</sup>, E. Dupuy<sup>2</sup>, C. T. McElroy<sup>3,11</sup>, J. R. Drummond<sup>3,13</sup>, C. R. Nowlan<sup>3</sup>, J. Zou<sup>3</sup>, F. Nichitiu<sup>3</sup>, S. Lossow<sup>14</sup>, J. Urban<sup>15</sup>, D. Murtagh<sup>15</sup>, and D. G. Dufour<sup>16</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Service de Chimie Quantique et Photophysique, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>4</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of York, UK

<sup>5</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

<sup>6</sup>Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA <sup>7</sup>Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA

4499

<sup>8</sup>Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe and Universität Karlsruhe, Institut für Meteorologie und Klimaforschung, Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>9</sup>Finnish Meteorological Institute, Arctic Research Center, Sodankylä, Finland

<sup>10</sup>Universidad Nacional, Heredia, Costa Rica

<sup>11</sup>Environment Canada, Downsview, Ontario, Canada

<sup>12</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

<sup>13</sup>Department of Physics & Atmospheric Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

<sup>14</sup>Department of Meteorology, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>15</sup>Department of Radio and Space Science, Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden

<sup>16</sup>Picomole Instruments Inc., Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

\*now at: Institutionen för Rymdvetenskap, Luleå Tekniska Universitet, Kiruna, Sweden

Received: 4 December 2007 - Accepted: 1 February 2008 - Published: 4 March 2008

Correspondence to: M. R. Carleer (mcarleer@ulb.ac.be)

#### Abstract

The Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE) mission was launched in August 2003 to sound the atmosphere by solar occultation. Water vapour ( $H_2O$ ), one of the most important molecules for climate and atmospheric chemistry, is one of the key species

- <sup>5</sup> provided by the two principal instruments, the infrared Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS) and the MAESTRO UV-Visible spectrometer (ACE-MAESTRO). The first instrument performs measurements on several lines in the 1362–2137 cm<sup>-1</sup> range, from which vertically resolved H<sub>2</sub>O concentration profiles are retrieved, from 7 to 90 km altitude. ACE-MAESTRO measures profiles using the water absorption band in the
- near infrared part of the spectrum at 926.0–969.7 nm. This paper presents a comprehensive validation of the ACE-FTS profiles. We have compared the H<sub>2</sub>O volume mixing ratio profiles with space-borne (SAGE II, HALOE, POAM III, MIPAS, SMR) observations and measurements from balloon-borne frostpoint hygrometers and a ground based lidar. We show that the ACE-FTS measurements provide H<sub>2</sub>O profiles with small
- retrieval uncertainties in the stratosphere (better than 5% from 15 to 70 km, gradually increasing above). The situation is unclear in the upper troposphere, due mainly to the high variability of the water vapour volume mixing ratio in this region. A new water vapour data product from the ACE-MAESTRO (Measurement of Aerosol Extinction in the Stratosphere and Troposphere Retrieved by Occultation) is also presented and initial comparisons with ACE-ETS are discussed.
- <sup>20</sup> initial comparisons with ACE-FTS are discussed.

## 1 Introduction

As the most important greenhouse gas, water vapour plays a fundamental role in the climate and chemistry of the Earth's atmosphere. In addition, it is an excellent dy-namical tracer in the middle atmosphere. At the Earth's surface, the atmosphere is

<sup>25</sup> between 1 and 4% water vapour. With increasing altitude, the amount of water vapour decreases rapidly in the troposphere. The tropopause acts as a cold trap by freeze-

4501

drying nearly all water vapour and the consequent sedimentation of the ice particles that are formed.

Water vapour enters the middle atmosphere from the troposphere, mainly through the tropical tropopause transition layer (TTL). This water throughput at the tropical

- <sup>5</sup> tropopause is around 3.7 ppmv (e.g. Kley et al., 2000) and it exhibits a seasonal variation according to the temperature, which is referred to as the tape recorder effect (Mote et al., 1996). As part of the Brewer-Dobson circulation, air enters the stratosphere in the tropics, then circulates to stratospheric midlatitudes, followed by descent at the poles. This circulation not only transports water, but also energy in the form of
- <sup>10</sup> heat both vertically and horizontally across the atmosphere. In the stratosphere, water vapour is produced by the oxidation of methane. At the same time, photodissociation and the reaction with O(<sup>1</sup>D) act as sink processes of water vapour. These processes become even more important in the mesosphere, so that water vapour is increasing in the stratosphere. Around the stratopause, the aforementioned sources and sinks reach an equilibrium state, resulting in the "conventional" water vapour peak.
- In the mesosphere, the water vapour concentration generally decreases with altitude due to the lack of additional sources. However, in polar areas in summer and in the tropics around equinox, an additional water vapour peak can be observed between 65 km and 75 km (Nedoluha et al., 1996; Summers et al., 1997; Seele and Hartogh,
- 1999). Sonnemann et al. (2005) explained this peak by an interplay between upwelling winds and autocatalytical water vapour formation from the molecular hydrogen reservoir during the period of strongest solar insolation. Another peak can be observed in a small layer at around 82 km altitude in the polar summer. This peak is caused by the redistribution of water vapour by ice particles forming polar summer mesosphere
- echoes and noctilucent clouds (NLCs or polar mesospheric clouds) (Summers et al., 2001; von Zahn and Berger, 2003).

Recent research on the stratospheric water vapour has focused on the tropospherestratosphere exchange (e.g. Sherwood and Dessler, 2000; Kley et al., 2000; Nassar et al., 2005b) and on global trends. Numerous studies have detected an increase in stratospheric water vapour occurring over time periods as short as a few years and as long as the past half-century (Oltmans et al., 2000; Michelsen et al., 2000; Rosenlof et al., 2001). More recent evidence indicates that the increase in stratospheric H<sub>2</sub>O has ceased in the last few years and has even shown a temporary decrease on the order of

- 3-4 years (Nedoluha et al., 2003; Randel et al., 2004). Thus understanding changes in stratospheric water vapour and water vapour entering the stratosphere is thus of the greatest importance. The focus of mesospheric research is for the most part on the water vapour budget in the polar summer mesopause region. This covers the amount of water vapour in the presence of NLCs (von Zahn and Berger, 2003), possible trends and inter-hemispheric differences (Hervig and Siskind, 2006).
- Satellite-borne instruments have played an important role in furthering our understanding of atmospheric water vapour. Measurements of the vertical distribution of water vapour in the middle atmosphere from space, using limb-observation techniques, began with the launch of the Nimbus-7 satellite in 1979. Two instruments on board this
- satellite provided measurements of  $H_2O$ : the Stratospheric And Mesospheric Sounder (SAMS) (Drummond et al., 1980; Taylor et al., 1981) and the Limb Infrared Monitor of the Stratosphere (LIMS) (Gille et al., 1980; Fischer et al., 1981). Since the mid-1980s, numerous new instruments have been developed to provide observations of water vapour. Two instruments participated in Space Shuttle missions between 1985 and
- 1994. The Atmospheric Trace MOlecule Spectroscopy (ATMOS) experiment (Gunson et al., 1996; Abbas et al., 1996) is an infrared Fourier transform spectrometer (FTS) and the Millimeter-wave Atmospheric Sounder (MAS) is a limb-emission radiometer (Hartmann et al., 1996). The second Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment (SAGE II) (Mauldin et al., 1985; Thomason et al., 2004; Taha et al., 2004) has provided, to date,
- the longest record of trace gas measurements (including H<sub>2</sub>O) by a single instrument using solar occultation. They made over two decades of UV-visible observations starting in 1984. In 1991, the launch of the Upper Atmospheric Research Satellite (UARS) (Reber et al., 1993) provided further measurements of water vapour from the HALogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE) (Russell et al., 1993; Harries, 1996; Nedoluha et al., 1993)

4503

1997, 2003), the Improved Stratospheric And Mesospheric Sounder (ISAMS) (Taylor et al., 1993; Goss-Custard et al., 1996) and the Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) (Barath et al., 1993; Pumphrey et al., 2000). The list of instruments providing or having provided  $H_2O$  measurements was expanded more recently with the CRyogenic Infrared

- <sup>5</sup> Spectrometers and Telescopes for the Atmosphere (CRISTA) instrument on the Space Shuttle (Offermann et al., 1999, 2002) and by several satellite-borne solar occultation instruments: the Polar Ozone and Aerosol Measurement (POAM) III instrument (Lucke et al., 1999; Nedoluha et al., 2003; Lumpe et al., 2006) and the two successive Improved Limb Atmospheric Sounder (ILAS) instruments ILAS-I (Nakajima et al., 2002;
- Kanzawa et al., 2002) and ILAS-II (e.g. Nakajima et al., 2006; Griesfeller et al., 2008). Currently, there are four satellite missions providing vertical profiles of water vapour from limb measurements. The Sub-Millimeter Radiometer (SMR) onboard Odin (Murtagh et al., 2002; Urban et al., 2007; Lossow et al., 2007), the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS) on Envisat (Fischer et al., 2007,
- <sup>15</sup> and references therein) and the second-generation MLS on the Aura satellite (e.g., Waters et al., 1999; Santee et al., 2005) all use limb-emission techniques. The Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE) placed onboard SCISAT provides H<sub>2</sub>O from solar occultation observations (Bernath et al., 2005).
- ACE, the first of a planned series of small Canadian scientific satellites, was launched into low Earth circular orbit (altitude 650 km, inclination 74°) on 12 August 2003. Following a 6-month commissioning period, the ACE instruments science operations started on 21 February 2004. The two principal instruments are the infrared ACE-FTS (Bernath et al., 2005) and the ACE-MAESTRO (Measurement of Aerosol Extinction in the Stratosphere and Troposphere Retrieved by Occultation; McElroy et
- al., 2007) UV-Visible spectrometer. These two sensors make simultaneous occultation measurements using a shared sun-tracking mirror located in the ACE-FTS. Water vapour volume mixing ratio (VMR) profiles are part of the baseline dataset for the ACE-FTS and are a new product for the ACE-MAESTRO.

In order to validate the water vapour results obtained from the ACE-FTS, we

have compared them to several measurements made with various instruments either ground-based, balloon- or space-borne. The correlative instruments use various remote sensing technologies including spectrometers and lidars as well as in situ humidity sensors. The results of these comparisons are given in the following chapters,

together with a brief description of each correlative instrument. Due to the high spatial and temporal variability of the water vapour concentration in the troposphere, most of the comparisons are done statistically, using the mean value of many measurements falling within a certain time span and a certain area of coincidence between the retrieved profiles. In addition, we present a new ACE-MAESTRO H<sub>2</sub>O VMR product and discuss initial comparisons with the ACE-FTS results.

#### 2 ACE instruments and retrievals

The principal instrument onboard SCISAT is a high resolution FTS named ACE-FTS with the following main specifications: spectra recorded from 750 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 4400 cm<sup>-1</sup> (13.3 to  $2.2 \,\mu$ m), at a resolution of  $0.02 \,\text{cm}^{-1}$  (±25 cm maximum optical path differ-

- ence). The instrument works in the solar occultation mode and records one full spectrum in about 2 s with a signal-to-noise ratio between 300:1 and 400:1 near the center of the wavenumber range. The delay between consecutive spectra gives a vertical spacing varying from 1.5 to 6 km depending on the angle between the orbital direction and the viewing direction with a maximum altitude resolution of 3–4 km due to the field
- of view of the instrument (1.25 mrad). The details of ACE-FTS spectra inversions are described in Boone et al. (2005). Currently, VMR profiles of more than thirty different trace gases are retrieved from the ACE-FTS spectra. The H<sub>2</sub>O retrieval utilizes 60 microwindows, which fall in the 950–975 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1360–2000 cm<sup>-1</sup> regions to retrieve profiles from 5 to 90 km altitude. The current version of the ACE-FTS data products is
   2.22 including updates for ozone, HDO and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>.
- ACE-MAESTRO, the second instrument aboard SCISAT is a dual-channel optical spectrometer operating in the spectral region between 285 and 1030 nm. Solar oc-

cultation spectra are being used to retrieve vertical profiles of temperature and pressure, aerosols, and trace gases ( $O_3$ ,  $NO_2$ ,  $H_2O$ , and OCIO) involved in the stratospheric ozone chemistry. The use of two overlapping spectrometers (280–550 nm, 500–1030 nm) improves the stray-light performance. The spectral resolution is about

5 2 nm in the near-infrared. The vertical resolution is estimated to be better than 1.7 km (McElroy et al., 2007).

The algorithm to retrieve water vapour profiles uses the observed wavelengthintegrated differential optical depth (DOD) over 926.0–969.7 nm range. The optical depth baseline is removed by subtracting a slope term interpolated from end points of

- this fitting window. The absorption optical depth due to water vapour and ozone are simulated with a correlated-k band model (Berk et al., 1999). The water vapour VMR profile in the atmosphere of this forward model is updated with Chahine's relaxation technique (Chahine, 1970) to match the observed wavelength-integrated DOD at each measured tangent height. The ozone absorption optical depth is small and is assumed
- to be known a priori. Current processing version of the spectra is 1.0. It must be pointed out that the data presented here are preliminary and are not part of the highpriority ACE-MAESTRO products. Water vapour profiles are only currently available from August to October 2005.

## 3 ACE-FTS validation

20 3.1 Satellites

#### 3.1.1 SAGE II

The SAGE II (Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment II) sensor was launched into a 57 degree inclination orbit at 610 km aboard the Earth Radiation Budget Satellite (ERBS) in October 1984 (Mauldin et al., 1985). The instrument ceased operations in

<sup>25</sup> August 2005, so it operated throughout most of the first two years of the ACE mission.

During each sunrise and sunset encountered by the orbiting spacecraft, the instrument used the solar occultation technique to measure attenuated solar radiation through the Earth's limb in seven channels centered at wavelengths ranging from 0.385 to 1.02 micrometers. Profiles of  $H_2O$ ,  $O_3$ ,  $NO_2$ , and aerosol extinction were produced from these measurements.

Water vapour is retrieved using the 935 nm channel (Chu et al., 1993). SAGE II water vapour retrievals have undergone several revisions over the years. These retrievals indicated strong influence of aerosol contamination and anomalies near the hygropause region with a dry bias of up to  $\sim$ 40% in version 6.0 (Chu et al., 1993, 1993; Michelsen

et al., 2002). Several important modifications were incorporated in a new product (version 6.2) which was released in October 2003, with significantly reduced sensitivity to aerosols (Thomason et al., 2004; Chiou et al., 2004). Version 6.2 retrievals have been extensively compared with ballonborne and satellite measurements and were found to agree within ~10–15% between 15–40 km with a high bias and decreasing precision above 40 km (Taha et al., 2004).

The SAGE II version 6.2 and ACE-FTS data sets were searched for all occultations that occurred within  $\pm 2h$  and 500 km. A total of 169 coincidences were found during the time both instruments collected spectra. Initially, comparisons were made separately for sunrise/sunrise and sunset/sunset combinations and we also separated the

- <sup>20</sup> comparisons between coincidences in 2004 and 2005. In both cases results were very similar, so only the overall combined results are shown here. Mean mixing ratio profiles for all coincidences are shown in Fig. 1. Both instruments show VMRs gradually increasing with altitude above about 15 km, and sharply increasing below 15 km. Note also that the variability in the SAGE II measurements is significantly higher than in the ACE ETS measurements.
- <sup>25</sup> ACE-FTS measurements.

5

Figure 2 shows the profiles of the standard deviations of the distributions in percent relative to the mean VMR at each altitude. ACE-FTS variations are on the order of 15% or less above 15 km; SAGE II variations are around 15–20% from 15–40 km, but increase at higher altitudes in agreement with the conclusions of Taha et al. (2004).

4507

The differences between ACE-FTS and SAGE II are quantified in Fig. 3. The differences between ACE-FTS and SAGE II become more negative with increasing altitude, from about +20% at 10 km down to -20% near 50 km. The reason for this is not understood, but we note that the difference profile is similar in character to that obtained for

- <sup>5</sup> POAM III SAGE II by Lumpe et al. (2006). However, using only SAGE II data with an error <50% as recommended by Taha et al. (2004) tends to remove the low values at the higher altitudes thus possibly giving a high bias to the SAGE II mean value. Below 20 km, some of the difference might be attributed to the aerosol clearing problems in SAGE II data.</p>
- 10 3.1.2 HALOE

The HALogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE) was launched on the Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS) spacecraft in September 1991, and after a period of outgassing, it began science observations in October 1991 (Russell et al., 1993). The experiment uses solar occultation to measure vertical profiles of  $O_3$ , HCl, HF, CH<sub>4</sub>,

- H<sub>2</sub>O, NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, aerosol extinction at 4 infrared wavelenths, and temperature versus pressure with an instantaneous vertical field of view of 1.6 km at the Earth's limb. Latitudinal coverage is from 80° S to 80° N over the course of 1 year and includes extensive observations of the Antarctic region during spring. The altitude range of the measurements extends from about 15 km to 60–130 km, depending on the species. HALOE
   collected its final occultation event in November 2005.
  - HALOE water vapour retrievals have been compared extensively to in situ and remote measurements, as summarized by Harries et al. (1996) and the SPARC water vapour report (Kley et al., 2000). These comparisons suggest that HALOE  $H_2O$  is biased low by about 5% in the stratosphere.
- The HALOE version 19 and ACE-FTS data sets were searched for coincident measurements, also defined as occurring within ±2 h in time and 500 km distance. A total of 36 coincidences were found during the time both instruments made measurements. Note that most comparisons correspond to polar summer conditions in the Northern

Hemisphere. Figure 4 shows the average  $H_2O$  profiles measured by both instruments for all coincidences. Although the analysis was performed separately for sunrise and sunset occultations, there were too few sunrise coincidences to obtain statistically significant results. Thus, only results for averages over all of the coincidences are reported

- <sup>5</sup> here. Both instruments show very similar profile shapes, with VMRs increasing above about 15 km. The altitude of the hygropause is the same in both instruments, although VMRs increase much more rapidly below this altitude in the ACE-FTS than in HALOE, resulting in significantly larger ACE-FTS VMRs below 15 km. At higher altitudes the ACE-FTS VMRs are also larger than HALOE, but by a smaller amount.
- Qualitatively, both measurements have similar variability from about 15–40 km, with HALOE variability increasing at higher altitudes. Measurement variability is quantified in Fig. 5, which shows the standard deviations of the distributions relative to the mean VMRs. There is excellent agreement between ACE-FTS and HALOE from about 15– 40 km, with standard deviations on the order of 5%. As expected from Fig. 4, variability
- <sup>15</sup> in the HALOE measurements is more than twice as large as that for ACE-FTS near 50 km. There is a significant increase in variability near 30 km that is captured by both instruments, suggesting that this is a real phenomenon. This is also seen in HALOE comparisons with other constituents such as CH<sub>4</sub> and HF (see DeMaziere et al., 2007; Mahieu et al., 2008). We believe that this reflects real summertime longitudinal vari-
- ations arising from differential meridional transport caused by breaking of westwardpropagating waves that are evanescent in the summer easterly flow (see Hoppel et al., 1999).

Figure 6 shows the percent differences between the instruments, plotted as ACE-FTS minus HALOE relative to the average of the two instruments. As noted above,

measurements from the ACE-FTS are biased high compared to HALOE, but only by about 5% from 20–50 km. Given the possible low bias in HALOE data, this suggests that the ACE-FTS measurements are highly accurate in this altitude range. There is a significant high bias below 17 km, increasing to more than 40% below 13 km. This reflects the difference in slope of the VMRs below the hygropause. At this point it is

4509

not clear if this is indicative of an error in one or both instruments, or if it is simply an indication that the geophysical conditions sampled by ACE-FTS were different from the geophysical conditions sampled by HALOE in this highly variable region of the atmosphere. It could also be due to the coarser vertical resolution of the ACE-FTS.

5 3.1.3 POAM III

The Polar Ozone and Aerosol Measurement III (POAM III) instrument was developed by the Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) to measure the vertical distribution of atmospheric  $O_3$ ,  $H_2O$ ,  $NO_2$ , aerosol extinction, and temperature (Lucke et al., 1999). POAM III measured solar extinction in nine narrow band channels, covering the spec-

- tral range from approximately 350 to 1060 nm. POAM III was carried by the SPOT-4 spacecraft sponsored by the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES), the French Space Agency. It was launched in March 1998 in polar orbit and ceased operation in December 2005.
- Lumpe et al. (2006) performed comparisons between POAM III, HALOE and SAGE Is II measurements. They concluded that POAM III version 4.0 had a 5–10% high bias for sunrise measurements in the stratosphere below 35 km, transitioning to a possible low bias of 10% by 50 km. POAM III sunset measurements are 5–10% higher than sunrise measurements.

Once again the chosen coincidence criteria are within ±2 h and 500 km distance. With POAM III version 4.0, we detected 316 coincidences. Figures 7–9 are analogous to Figs. 1–3 and 4–6. Like the HALOE and SAGE II comparisons, the instruments show similar profile shapes. As shown in Fig. 7, ACE-FTS reports less water than POAM III throughout most of the altitude range, consistent with the high POAM bias described by Lumpe et al. (2006). Note also the large variability in the POAM measurements

<sup>25</sup> compared to ACE-FTS. This is quantified in Fig. 8, which shows FTS variations around 5–10% throughout most of the altitude range, whereas POAM variability ranges from about 15–30%. The relatively low precision of the POAM H<sub>2</sub>O measurements was explained by Lumpe et al. (2006). Figure 9 quantifies the differences between ACE-

FTS and POAM III. ACE-FTS VMRs are lower than those measured by POAM III from about 13–40 km, with a maximum difference of ~18% near 20 km. Differences are positive above 40 km. Taking into account the conclusions of Lumpe et al. (2006) about POAM III biases, the ACE-FTS profiles seem in very good agreement with corrected

- <sup>5</sup> POAM III profiles. Below 13 km the differences are again positive, showing the same bias as SAGE II and HALOE. That all three solar occultation instrument comparisons show positive differences near 10 km possibly suggests a real wet bias in the ACE-FTS data at this altitude. Note, however, that variability in H<sub>2</sub>O increases substantially near the tropopause, so it is also possible that geophysical variations contribute to
- the differences. In Fig. 7 there is a noticeable difference between the ACE-FTS and POAM III mean profiles around 20–25 km, with the ACE-FTS showing only a hint of the strong maximum seen in the POAM III profile. This could be because of the lower vertical resolution of ACE-FTS as compared to POAM III, although a similar maximum was seen quite clearly in the comparison with the SAGE II profiles.
- 15 3.1.4 MIPAS

The Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS) is one of the core experiments on ESA's Envisat satellite, launched in March 2002 (Fischer et al., 2007). Envisat is in a quasi-polar, sun-synchronous orbit at an altitude of 800 km which provides pole to pole coverage each day. MIPAS measures atmospheric limb emission

<sup>20</sup> spectra from 685–2410 cm<sup>-1</sup> (14.5 to 4.1  $\mu$ m) over a tangent altitude range 6–68 km. After suitable ground processing, these spectra allow quantification of concentration profiles of numerous atmospheric trace species. In addition, atmospheric temperature as well as the distribution of aerosol particles, tropospheric cirrus, and stratospheric ice clouds can also be derived from MIPAS data (Fischer et al., 2007).

Vertical profiles of H<sub>2</sub>O from MIPAS chosen for these comparisons have been retrieved with the dedicated scientific IMK-IAA data processor (von Clarmann et al., 2003). The basic retrieval strategy for water vapour has been described by Milz et al. (2005). For the actual comparison with ACE-FTS we have used the most recent

version 13 IMK-IAA  $H_2O$  dataset which differs from the version described by Milz et al. (2005) with respect to the following two items: (1) log(VMR) instead of VMR has been used as the primary retrieval quantity. This allows the usage of an altitude constant a priori  $H_2O$  profile independent of latitude. (2) NO<sub>2</sub> has been added as a second

fit parameter. Especially for the location and time of these comparisons, modification (2) has been important to account for interfering NO<sub>2</sub> lines which were strongly enhanced during night at high altitudes due to an enhanced downward transport of NO<sub>x</sub> inside the polar vortex.

Coincidences between MIPAS and ACE-FTS are found in the period from 10 Febru-<sup>10</sup> ary until 26 March 2004 located between 30° N and 80° N. (It should be noted that the measurements before 21 February 2004 were taken during the commissioning phase of the ACE mission.) The following coincidence criteria have been applied: maximum time difference of ±9 h, maximum location difference of 800 km, and maximum difference of potential vorticity of  $3 \times 10^{-6}$  km<sup>2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at an altitude of 475 K potential

- temperature. Over all co-incidences, this resulted in a mean distance of 300±150 km and a mean time difference of 0.2 h (ACE-FTS – MIPAS). The distribution of the time differences is, however, bi-modal since MIPAS measurements at the latitudes of the MIPAS/ACE-FTS coincidences are either at day or night while the ACE-FTS observations used here are made during sunset. Thus, for nighttime MIPAS observations, the
- time difference (ACE-FTS MIPAS) is –5±1.3 h, while in the case of MIPAS daytime measurements it is 5.7±1.6 h. Figure 10 presents the mean value of the VMR of 381 ACE-FTS profiles compared to 728 MIPAS profiles. The black curve shows the ACE-FTS and the red curve the MIPAS mean profile.
- Figure 11 shows the profiles of the standard deviations of the distributions as percent relative to the mean VMR at each altitude. ACE-FTS variations are on the order of 5–13% at 15–45 km. MIPAS standard deviations are comparable to ACE-FTS below 20 km. From 25–40 km, they increase to values around 15% which is about 10% higher than the ACE-FTS variability at these altitudes. This is due to a concurrent increase of

MIPAS estimated noise errors at these altitudes. Above 45 km, MIPAS and ACE-FTS variability increase due to a combination of increase of spectral noise error and the strong geophysical variability during the coincidence period.

Figure 12 shows the difference in percent between ACE-FTS and MIPAS. One can see that the differences, from 68 down to 14 km never exceed 8%. Within that altitude

range the mean bias of ACE-FTS with respect to MIPAS is +3.2%.

Below 14 km, however, the two sets diverge and mainly below the hygropause the ACE-FTS values are up to 20% higher than MIPAS.

## 3.1.5 Odin-SMR

- <sup>10</sup> Odin was developed by the Swedish Space Corporation, but it is an international project where the space agencies of Finland (TEKES), Canada (CSA) and France (CNES) are involved. It was launched in February 2001 into a circular, sun-synchronous, quasipolar orbit at 600 km altitude (Murtagh et al., 2002). One of the two instruments onboard Odin is an advanced Sub-Millimeter Radiometer (SMR) using a 1.1 m telescope,
- <sup>15</sup> which is used for both astronomy and aeronomy missions (Frisk et al., 2003). It measures thermal emission lines at the Earth's limb in the frequency band 486–580 GHz, covering several water vapor lines (Urban et al., 2007). Mesospheric water vapor is retrieved from the 557 GHz line and the current retrieval version 2.1 is described by Lossow et al. (2007).
- We found 2033 coincidences within ±5 h and less than 1000 km apart between the measurements taken by the Odin-SMR and the ACE-FTS in the mesosphere. We separated the coincidences by latitude as well by three-month season. Even if the profiles were largely different the results of the comparisons were very similar. The average ACE-FTS and SMR profiles for all coincidences are shown in Fig. 13 in the
- altitude range from 50 to 90 km. The black line is the ACE-FTS average profile and the red one the Odin-SMR average profile. One can see that the ACE-FTS profile is larger than the SMR for all altitudes. Both profiles show a marked decrease in water VMR with altitude. Figure 14 shows the difference (ACE-FTS – Odin-SMR) between

## 4513

the two profiles in VMR units. The bias is almost constant at a value of around 0.4 ppmv over the entire altitude range. However some remaining calibration issues in the Odin-SMR data are probably responsible for this discrepancy (S. Lossow, personal communication).

Figure 15 presents the same difference this time in percent with respect to the mean of the ACE-FTS and SMR VMRs. The difference from 50 up to 82 km does not exceed 10%, but increases sharply above 82 km when the VMR approaches zero ppmv.

Gattinger et al. (2006) compared Odin-OSIRIS mesospheric OH observations with OH deduced from a photochemical model applied to ACE-FTS  $H_2O$  measurements.

<sup>10</sup> They not only find a very good agreement between the two, but also that longitudinal and temporal variabilities are well reproduced.

# 3.1.6 Aura-MLS

The Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS), an advanced successor to the MLS instrument on the Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS), is a limb sounding instru-

- <sup>15</sup> ment which measures thermal emission at millimeter and sub-millimeter wavelengths using seven radiometers to cover five broad spectral regions (Waters et al., 1999). The standard H<sub>2</sub>O product is retrieved from the radiances measured by the radiometers centered near 190 GHz. The water retrievals from this instrument were already compared to the ACE-FTS version 2.2 data (Lambert et al., 2007). The authors come to
- the conclusion that both sets agree to better than  $\pm 5\%$  with no offset from 15 to 40 km. At lower altitudes, they also see a sharp wet bias of the ACE-FTS measurements, just as we do with the other satellite instruments.

# 3.2 Frostpoint hygrometers

The Cryogenic Frostpoint Hygrometer (CFH), which is currently built at the University of Colorado, is capable of measuring the large range of water vapour concentrations found in the troposphere and stratosphere (Vömel et al., 2007). It is carried up by small meteorological balloons and measures a water vapour profiles between the surface and the middle stratosphere with high vertical resolution. The VMR uncertainty is about 4% in the lower tropical troposphere to about 10% in the middle stratosphere and tropical tropopause. Balloons were launched from 2004 to 2007 from Boulder in Colorado, San

- Jose in Costa Rica and Sodankylä in Finland. At Boulder, CO some soundings were obtained using the older NOAA/ESRL (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration/ Earth System Research Laboratory) FrostPoint hygrometer (FP). The spatial coincidence criteria were latitude differences less than ±5 degrees and longitude less than ±20 degrees. The profiles were separated into 2 month periods and selected
- <sup>10</sup> comparisons are shown in Figs. 16 to 20. In these figures, all profiles are shown for the indicated period, the FP/CFH in red and the ACE-FTS in blue. There was no time criterion used other than the two month time period. The average profiles agree to within 5% in the stratosphere above 18 km.
- Below the hygropause there is some possibility that ACE-FTS may be a little bit dry. Figure 20, presenting a great number of ACE-FTS data points, shows also how well the instrument captures the natural variability of water, in perfect agreement with CFH.

#### 3.3 PCL Lidar

20

The University of Western Ontario's Purple Crow Lidar (PCL) is a powerful Rayleigh resonance-scatter and Raman lidar system. It is located at the Delaware Observatory, just southwest of London, Ontario (Sica et al., 1995). The transmitter for the Rayleigh and Raman channels uses a frequency doubled YAG laser, which produces

- 600 mJ pulses at a pulse-repetition-frequency of 20 Hz, i.e. 12 W average power, at a wavelength of 532 nm. The PCL receiver is based on a 2.65 m diameter liquid mercury mirror. The use of this large mirror allows high signal-to-noise ratio Raman water vapour and molecular nitrogen returns to be obtained at altitudes above 20 km. Sep-
- arate detection system channels record the backscatter intensity profiles for the two Raman channels, in addition to the high-altitude Rayleigh-scatter and sodium resonance channels. For these comparisons, the lidar measurements were averaged over

4515

a night's integration to minimize the statistical error.

The PCL lidar water vapour measurements and stratospheric-vibrational-Ramanscattering temperatures have been calibrated against routine radiosonde measurements from Detroit, MI and Buffalo, NY by Argall et al. (2007). Their comparison

- <sup>5</sup> showed the lidar measurements were typically greater below 2 km and lower between 4 and 8 km than the radiosondes, but in general agreed to within about ±12%. This agreement is consistent with the uncertainties in the radiosondes themselves and the tropospheric geophysical variability between the locations.
- Four ACE-FTS overpasses were available for comparison. The PCL measurements used for the comparisons are mean values of individual profiles taken during one night when one overpass of ACE-FTS occurred. Careful inspection of the individual PCL profiles showed no temporal variation during the observing periods, justifying the use of a nightly-averaged profile. Furthermore, each night was free of clouds during the measurement period. The proximity of each coincidence is given in Table 1. The
- <sup>15</sup> coincidence on 1 September 2005 was in close proximity to the PCL. The coincidences on 2 September 2005 and 5 May 2006 are at approximately the same latitude, but about 10° of longitude to the west. The coincidence on 30 June 2006 was significantly north, e.g. about 6° in latitude.

Figure 21 shows the coincidence on 30 June 2005, where ACE-FTS is north of the

- PCL. This is the only coincidence where ACE-FTS measurements were not available below 12 km. There is general agreement below 16 km, but the lidar measurement show a general increase of water vapour VMR with height. Above 16 km the lidar measurements are about twice that of ACE-FTS. It should be noted that the validity of the PCL measurement at 18.75 km is questionable, although nothing unusual was for activities that of ACE-FTS.
- <sup>25</sup> found in the lidar returns.

The coincidence on 1 September 2005 (Fig. 22) is the closest spatially to the PCL. The general shape of the two measurements is similar, but the ACE-FTS VMRs are about 10 times larger in the troposphere. Around the tropopause region the measurements agree, but above 11.5 km the PCL measurements sharply decrease and remain

about 5 times smaller then ACE-FTS. Both the lidar temperature measurements and Detroit radiosonde show the tropopause height to be around 17 km, corresponding to the second water vapour increase in the PCL profile (Sica et al., 2008).

The coincidences on 2 September 2005 (Fig. 23) and 5 May 2006 (Fig. 24) use

- ACE-FTS measurements obtained to the west of the PCL. On 2 September 2005 both instruments measure higher VMRs in the upper troposphere, but again there is a large difference in the magnitude of the ratios, with the ACE-FTS measurements about twice the PCL measurements. In fact, on this night the ACE-FTS measurements are greater at all heights. While the PCL measures a rapid decrease in VMR above 10 km, similar
- to the previous night, the ACE-FTS measurements are much larger in this region (about 10 times). Above 14 km, the measurements agree to about 50%. The coincidence on 5 May 2006 shows the best agreement. Both instruments measure a profile of similar shape, and both observe a minimum at 14.5 km altitude. Both the lidar and the Detroit radiosonde see a temperature minimum at this height (Sica et al., 2008).
- Above this height, the ACE-FTS measurements agree within the errors and are slightly smaller than the PCL measurements. Below this height, the shape of each profile is the same but again the ACE-FTS measurement is about 2 to 5 times greater than the PCL measurements

# 4 ACE-MAESTRO/ACE-FTS comparison

- We chose to compare median average profiles of ACE-MAESTRO and ACE-FTS in 4 latitudinal bands in September–October 2005: 70–75 degrees north, 30 north to 30 south, 35–60 degrees south and 60–70 degrees south. Data availability was limited at northern mid-latitudes in this time period. The band widths were chosen to have at least 20 profiles per band.
- Because ACE-MAESTRO and ACE-FTS are aboard the same satellite, they share the same pointing optics. However, due to slight differences in the optical path and sampling time and, more importantly, due to the different refraction indices of the op-

4517

tical versus infrared light in the atmosphere, slight discrepancies in the geophysical location are present. They will be small however. Differences in the water vapour profile may also result from differences between the instruments in terms of spatial resolution, particularly in the vertical direction. ACE-MAESTRO has a vertical resolu-

- tion of ~1.2 km (McElroy et al., 2007). The only difference between ACE-MAESTRO and ACE-FTS which is consistent versus latitude occurs below 12–15 km, where ACE-FTS is sensitive to the assumed shape of the ro-vibrational absorption lines it uses to quantify water vapour concentration. The line shape issue requires further modelling (Boone et al., 2007).
- Figures 25 to 28 present the comparisons between the vertical profiles for the 4 latitudinal bands. Because ACE-MAESTRO currently gives noisy values in the middle stratosphere, comparisons are limited to altitudes lower than 19 km. It can be seen from these figures that the agreement between ACE-FTS and ACE-MAESTRO are very good in general, certainly well within the error bars. The generally good agreement
- between the profiles may well be due to the very good pointing collocation of the two instruments, removing any spatial variability of the water content known to be high in the troposphere. Even when comparing single profiles instead of averages, the agreement is good.

Figure 28 shows two minima in the ACE-MAESTRO water vapour concentration at

roughly 14.5 and 17.5 km. Cloud filtering of the profiles used in the median calculation reduces the amplitude of the minima. This suggests that a real dehydration process occurs at the two altitudes, particularly in the presence of PSCs. The lack of minima in the ACE-FTS is thought to be due to the lower vertical resolution of the ACE-FTS.

## 5 Summary and conclusions

In this study, ACE-FTS version 2.2 water vapour profiles were compared with measurements from the satellite-based instruments SAGE II, HALOE, POAM III, MIPAS and SMR as well as balloon-borne frostpoint hygrometer and ground-based lidar observations. A new H<sub>2</sub>O product from ACE-MAESTRO was also introduced and initial comparisons with ACE-FTS were described.

Apart from POAM III, the comparisons made with the instruments onboard satellites all show a slight positive bias of the ACE-FTS of the order of 3 to 10% in the altitude

- <sup>5</sup> range 15 to 70 km. However taking into account the conclusions drawn by the various papers validating SAGE II, HALOE and POAM III, the accuracy of the ACE-FTS water vapor VMR can be estimated to be better than the comparisons by several percent. The comparison with Odin-SMR also shows a roughly constant wet bias of 0.4 ppmv from 50 to 90 km. Note as described in Sect. 3.1.5., that the remaining calibration
- issues of the Odin-SMR instrument may cause a small low bias that could be of the same magnitude as the aforementioned difference. That all space-borne instruments comparisons show an ACE-FTS positive difference near 10 km possibly suggests a real bias in the ACE-FTS data at low altitude. The same is true when comparing ACE-FTS with the Purple Crow Lidar. Note, however, that variability in H<sub>2</sub>O increases
- <sup>15</sup> substantially near the tropopause, so it is also possible that geophysical variations contribute to these differences. The results obtained from the comparison with the hygrometers seem to be in contradiction with the findings above. They suggest that ACE-FTS is often dryer in the troposphere.
- The variability in the upper troposphere (below the hygropause) is quite large, so one needs good coincidences to make substantial statements. However in order to have statistically meaningful averages, we had to relax the coincidence criteria. We might just be sampling the variability of the upper troposphere, not the differences in the instruments.

Finally, the comparisons with ACE-MAESTRO do not consistently show a significant wet or dry bias at 10 km. This could be partly due to the fact that some errors (e.g. altitude registration) are common to both ACE instruments and thus are not revealed by this internal comparison.

In view of all the results shown here and the discussion about the validity of the measurements made by the other instruments, we certainly can conclude that the ACE-FTS

4519

water vapour measurements are in very good agreement with most of the comparison instruments to a level better than 5–10% in the stratosphere from 15 to 70 km. This difference increases gradually above 70 km. The situation below 15 km is more difficult to establish since the space-borne instruments show an ACE-FTS wet bias but the

<sup>5</sup> hygrometers show a dry bias at the same altitudes. The discrepancy here can be as high as 40% with the other instruments. However it is well known that the water vapour content in the troposphere can vary wildly both spatially and temporally.

The high repeatability of ACE-FTS in the stratosphere relative to MIPAS, POAM III, ACE-MAESTRO, and SAGE II, combined with the small biases relative to the ensem-

- <sup>10</sup> ble of correlative instruments and the good geographical coverage provided by the mid-inclination orbit suggests that ACE-FTS is probably the best available satellite instrument for validating future water vapour profilers. The high precision of ACE data makes it useful for trend studies (Nassar et al., 2005a), descent rate calculations (Nassar et al., 2005b), and other process studies.
- <sup>15</sup> All the results discussed above are for mean profiles calculated, for most of them, from hundreds and even thousands of profiles. In one particular case, the PCL lidar, single profiles were compared. Values differing by as much as a factor of 10 are seen. In this case the spatial variability becomes one of the most important factors because the variability is not minimized by averaging. A possible temporal variability
- was excluded by making lidar measurements during several hours and checking that the water vapor content remained almost constant. Also, the inherent differences in the measurement techniques can impact these comparisons. The lidar observation collects its signal from a narrow column of air above the station whereas the solar occultation measurement by ACE-FTS averages over a ~500 km horizontal path length
- <sup>25</sup> through the atmosphere.

Acknowledgements. The Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE), also known as SCISAT, is a Canadian-led mission mainly supported by the Canadian Space Agency and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. The MAESTRO instrument was developed with additional financial support from Environment Canada, the Canadian Foundation

for Climate and Atmospheric Sciences, and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

The research in Belgium was funded by the F.R.S.-FNRS, the Belgian State Federal Office for Scientific, Technical and Cultural Affairs and the European Space Agency (ESA-Prodex

 arrangement C90-219) as well as by the "Actions de Recherche Concertées" (Communauté Française de Belgique).
 The authors thank the HALOE Science and Data Processing Teams for providing the profiles.

The authors thank the HALOE Science and Data Processing Teams for providing the profiles used in this work. Thanks to the POAM team at the U.S. Naval Research Lab for providing the POAM III data. We also thank the SAGE II team for providing the data used in these comparisons. We are grateful to L. Harvey for processing the data used in the SAGE II, HALOE and

POAM III comparisons. We acknowledge financial support from NASA grant NNG04GF39G. Odin is a Swedish-led satellite project funded jointly by the Swedish National Space Board (SNSB), the Canadian Space Agency (CSA), the National Technology Agency of Finland

(SNSB), the Canadian Space Agency (CSA), the National Technology Agency of Finland (Tekes) and the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES) in France. The Swedish Space Corporation has been the industrial prime constructor.

We also acknowledge ESA for providing the MIPAS level 1b-dataset.

#### References

Abbas, M. M., Gunson, M. R., Newchurch, M. J., Michelsen, H. A., Salawitch, R. J., Allen, M., Abrams, M. C., Chang, A. Y., Goldman, A., Irion, F. W., Moyer, E. J., Nagaraju, R., Rins-

- land, C. P., Stiller, G. P., and Zander, R.: The hydrogen budget of the stratosphere inferred from ATMOS measurements of H<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub>, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(17), 2405–2408, doi:10.1029/96GL01320, 1996.
  - Argall, P. S., Sica, R.J., Bryant, C., Algara-Siller, M., and Schijns, H.: Calibration of the Purple Crow Lidar Raman water vapour and temperature measurements, Can. J. Phys., 85, 119– 129, 2007.
- Barath, F. T., Chavez, M. C., Cofield, R. E., Flower, D. A., Frerking, M. A., Gram, M. B., Harris, W. M., Holden, J. R., Jarnot, R. F., and Kloezeman, W. G.: The Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite microwave limb sounder instrument, J. Geophys. Res., 98(D6), 10751–10762, doi:10.1029/93JD00798, 1993.

- Bernath, P. F., McElroy, C. T., Abrams, M. C., Boone, C. D., Butler, M., Camy-Peyret, C., Carleer, M., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P. F., Colin, R., DeCola, P., DeMaziere, M., Drummond, J. R., Dufour, D., Evans, W. F. J., Fast, H., Fussen, D., Gilbert, K., Jennings, D. E., Llewellyn, E. J., Lowe, R. P., Mahieu, E., McConnell, J. C., McHugh, M., McLeod, S. D., Michaud, R.,
- Midwinter, C., Nassar, R., Nichitiu, F., Nowlan, C., Rinsland, C. P., Rochon, Y. J., Rowlands, N., Semeniuk, K., Simon, P., Skelton, R., Sloan, J. J., Soucy, M.-A., Strong, K., Tremblay, P., Turnbull, D., Walker, K. A., Walkty, I., Wardle, D. A., Wehrle, V., Zander, R., and Zou, J.: Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE): Mission overview, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L15S01, doi:10.1029/2005GL022386, 2005.
- Berk, A., Anderson, G. P., Acharya, P. K., Chetwynd, J. H., Bernstein, L. S., Shettle, E. P., Matthew, M. W., and Adler-Golden, S. M.: MODTRAN4 User's manual: Software manual, Air Force Res. Lab., Space Vehicles Dir., Air Force Mater. Command, Hanscom Air Force Base, Mass., 1999.
- Boone, C. D., Nassar, R., Walker, K. A., Rochon, Y., McLeod, S. D., Rinsland, C. P., and <sup>5</sup> Bernath, P. F.: Retrievals for the atmospheric chemistry experiment Fourier-transform spectrometer, Appl. Optics, 44, 7218–7231, 2005.
- Boone, C. D., Walker, K. A., and Bernath, P. F.: Speed-dependent Voigt profile for water vapour in infrared remote sensing applications, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 525–532, 2007.
- 20 Chahine, M. T.: Inverse problems in radiative transfer: Determination of atmospheric parameters, J. Atmos. Sci., 27, 960–967, 1970.
  - Chiou, E.-W., McCormick, M. P., McMaster, L. R., Chu, W. P., Larsen, J. C., Rind, D., and Oltmans, S.: Intercomparison of stratospheric water vapour observed by satellite experiments: SAGE II versus LIMS and ATMOS, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 4875–4887, 1993.
- <sup>25</sup> Chiou, E.-W., Thomason, L. W., Burton, S. P., and Michelsen, H. A.: Assessment of the SAGE II version 6.2 water vapour data set through intercomparison with ATMOS/ATLAS-3 measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L14101, doi:10.1029/2004GL020071, 2004.
- Chu, W. P., Chiou, E. W., Larsen, J. C., Thomason, L. W., Rind, D., Buglia, J. J., Oltmans, S., McCormick, M. P., and McMaster, L. R.: Algorithms and sensitivity analyses for SAGE II water vapour retrieval, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 4857–4866, 1993.
- De Mazière, M., Vigouroux, C., Bernath, P. F., Baron, P., Blumenstock, T., Boone, C., Brogniez, C., Catoire, V., Coffey, M., Duchatelet, P., Griffith, D., Hannigan, J., Kasai, Y., Kramer, I., Jones, N., Mahieu, E., Manney, G. L., Piccolo, C., Randall, C., Robert, C., Senten, C.,

Strong, K., Taylor, J., Tétard, C., Walker, K. A., and Wood, S.: Validation of ACE-FTS v2.2 methane profiles from the upper troposphere to lower mesosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 17975–18014, 2007.

Drummond, J. R., Houghton, J. T., Peskett, G. D., Rodgers, C. D., Wale, M. J., Whitney, J., and
 Williamson, E. J.: The Stratospheric and Mesospheric Sounder on Nimbus 7, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series A, Math. Phys. Sci., 296, 219–241, 1980.

Fischer, H., Gille, J., and Russell, J.: Water vapour in the stratosphere: preliminary results of the LIMS experiment aboard Nimbus-7, Adv. Space Res., 1, 279–281, 1981.

- Fischer, H., Birk, M., Blom, C., Carli, B., Carlotti, M., von Clarmann, T., Delbouille, L., Dudhia, A., Ehhalt, D., Endemann, M., Flaud, J. M., Gessner, R., Kleinert, A., Koopmann, R., Langen, J., López-Puertas, M., Mosner, P., Nett, H., Oelhaf, H., Perron, G., Remedios, J., Ridolfi, M., Stiller, G., and Zander, R.: MIPAS: an instrument for atmospheric and climate research, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 8795–8893, 2007,
- 15 http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/7/8795/2007/.
- Frisk, U., Hagström, M., Ala-Laurinaho, J., Andersson, S., Berges, J.-C., Chabaud, J.-P., Dahlgren, M., Emrich, A., Florén, H.-G., Florin, G., Fredrixon, M., Gaier, T., Haas, R., Hirvonen, T., Hjalmarsson, Å., Jakobsson, B., Jukkala, P., Kildal, P. S., Kollberg, E., Lassing, J., Lecacheux, A., Lehikoinen, P., Lehto, A., Mallat, J., Marty, C., Michet, D., Narbonne, J.,
- Nexon, M., Olberg, M., Olofsson, A. O. H., Olofsson, G., Origné, A., Petersson, M., Piironen, P., Pons, R., Pouliquen, D., Ristorcelli, I., Rosolen, C., Rouaix, G., Räisänen, A. V., Serra, G., Sjöberg, F., Stenmark, L., Torchinsky, S., Tuovinen, J., Ullberg, C., Vinterhav, E., Wadefalk, N., Zirath, H., Zimmermann, P., and Zimmermann, R.: The Odin satellite: I. Radiometer design and test, Astron. Astrophys., 402, L27–L34, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20030335, 2003.
- Gattinger, R. L., Boone, C. D., Walker, K. A., Degenstein, D. A., Bernath, P. F., and Llewellyn, E. J.: Comparison of Odin-OSIRIS OH A<sup>2</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>-X<sup>2</sup>Π 0-0 mesospheric observations and ACE-FTS water vapour observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L15808, doi:10.1029/2006GL026425, 2006.
- Gille, J. C., Bailey, P. L., and Russell III, J. M.: Temperature and composition measurements
   from the l.r.i.r. and l.i.m.s. experiments on Nimbus 6 and 7, Philosophical Transactions of the
   Royal Society of London, Series A, Math. Phys. Sci., 296, 205–218, 1980.
- Goss-Custard, M., Remedios, J. J., Lambert, A., Taylor, F. W., Rodgers, C. D., Lopez-Puertas, M., Zaragoza, G., Gunson, M. R., Suttie, M. R., Harries, J. E., and Rus-

4523

sell, J. M.: Measurements of water vapor distributions by the improved stratospheric and mesospheric sounder: Retrieval and validation, J. Geophys. Res., 101(D6), 9907–9928, doi:10.1029/95JD02032, 1996.

Griesfeller, A., von Clarmann, T., Griesfeller, J., Höpfner, M., Milz, M., Nakajima, H., Steck, T.,
 Sugita, T., Tanaka, T., and Yokota, T.: Intercomparison of ILAS-II Version 1.4 and Version 2 target parameters with MIPAS-Envisat measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 825–843, 2008,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/825/2008/.

Gunson, M. R., Abbas, M. M., Abrams, M. C., Allen, M., Brown, L. R., Brown, T. L., Chang,

- A. Y., Goldman, A., Irion, F. W., Lowes, L. L., Mahieu, E., Manney, G. L., Michelsen, H. A., Newchurch, M. J., Rinsland, C. P., Salawitch, R. J., Stiller, G. P., Toon, G. C., Yung, Y. L., and Zander, R.: The Atmospheric Trace Molecule Spectroscopy (ATMOS) experiment: Deployment on the ATLAS Space Shuttle missions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(17), 2333, doi:10.1029/96GL01569, 1996.
- Harries, J. E., Russell, J. M., Tuck, A. F., Gordley, L. L., Purcell, P., Stone, K., Bevilacqua, R. M., Gunson, M., Nedoluha, G., and Traub, W. A.: Validation of measurements of water vapour from the Halogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE), J. Geophys. Res., 101(D6), 10205– 10216, 1996.

Hartmann, G. K., Bevilacqua, R. M., Schwartz, P. R., Kämpfer, N., Künzi, K. F., Aellig, C. P.,

Berg, A., Boogaerts, W., Connor, B. J., Croskey, C. L., Daehler, M., Degenhardt, W., Dicken, H. D., Goldizen, D., Kriebel, D., Langen, J., Loidl, A., Olivero, J. J., Pauls, T. A., Puliafito, S. E., Richards, M. L., Rudin, C., Tsou, J. J., Waltman, W. B., Umlauft, G., and Zwick, R.: Measurements of O<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and ClO in the middle atmosphere using the millimeter-wave atmospheric sounder (MAS), Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(17), 2313–2316, doi:10.1029/96GL01475, 1996.

Hervig, M. and Siskind, D.: Decadal and inter-hemispheric variability in polar mesospheric clouds, water vapor, and temperature, J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys., 68, 30–41, 2006.

- Hoppel, K. W., Bowman K. P., and Bevilacqua R. M.: Northern hemisphere summer ozone variability observed by POAM II, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 827–830, 1999.
- Kanzawa, H., Schiller, C., Ovarlez, J., Camy-Peyret, C., Payan, S., Jeseck, P., Oelhaf, H., Stowasser, M., Traub, W. A., Jucks, K. W., Johnson, D. G., Toon, G. C., Sen, B., Blavier, J.-F., Park, J. H., Bodeker, G. E., Pan, L. L., Sugita, T., Nakajima, H., Yokota, T., Suzuki, M., Shiotani, M., and Sasano, Y.: Validation and data characteristics of water vapor profiles

observed by the Improved Limb Atmospheric Spectrometer (ILAS) and processed with the version 5.20 algorithm, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D24), 8217, doi:10.1029/2001JD00881, 2002. (Correction: J. Geophys. Res., 108(D4), 8218, doi:10.1029/2003JD001601, 2003).

- Kley, D., Russell III, J. M., and Phillips, C.: SPARC assessment of upper tropospheric and stratospheric water vapour, WCRP 113, WMO/TD-1043, SPARC Rep. 2, World Clim. Res. Program, Geneva, 2000.
  - Lambert, A., Read, W. G., Livesey, N. J., Santee, M. L., Manney, G. L., Froidevaux, L., Wu, D.
    L., Schwartz, M. J., Pumphrey, H. C., Jimenez, C., Nedoluha, G. E., Cofield, R. E., Cuddy,
    D. T., Daffer, W. H., Drouin, B. J., Fuller, R. A., Jarnot, R. F., Knosp, B. W., Pickett, H.
- M., Perun, V. S., Snyder, W. V., Stek, P. C., Thurstans, R. P., Wagner, P. A., Waters, J. W., Jucks, K. W., Toon, G. C., Stachnik, R. A., Bernath, P. F., Boone, C. D., Walker, K. A., Urban, J., Murtagh, D., Elkins, J. W., and Atlas, E.: Validation of the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder stratospheric water vapour and nitrous oxide measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D24S36, doi:10.1029/2007JD008724, 2007.
- <sup>15</sup> Lossow, S., Urban, J., Eriksson, P., Murtagh, D., and Gumbel, J.: Critical parameters for the retrieval of mesospheric water vapour and temperature from Odin/SMR limb measurements at 557 GHz, Adv. Space Res., 40, 835, doi:10.1016/j.asr.2007.05.026, 2007.
  - Lucke, R. L., Korwan, D. R., Bevilacqua, R. M., Hornstein, J. S., Shettle, E. P., Chen, D. T., Daehler, M., Lumpe, J. D., Fromm, M. D., Debrestian, D., Neff, B., Squire, M.,
- König-Langlo, G., and Davies, J.: The Polar Ozone and Aerosol Measurement (POAM)
   III instrument and early validation results, J. Geophys. Res., 104(D15), 18785–18800,
   doi:10.1029/1999JD900235, 1999.
  - Lumpe, J. D., Bevilacqua, R., Randall, C., Nedoluha, G., Hoppel, K., Russell, J., Harvey, V.L., Schiller, C., Sen, B., Taha, G., Toon, G., and Vomel, H.: Validation of Polar Ozone and Assessed Measurement (POAM) III version 4 stratespheric water venous. Res
- Aerosol Measurement (POAM) III version 4 stratospheric water vapour, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D11301, doi:10.1029/2005JD006763, 2006.
  - Mahieu, E., Duchatelet, P., Demoulin, P., Walker, K. A., Dupuy, E., Froidevaux, L., Randall, C., Catoire, V., Strong, K., Boone, C. D., Bernath, P. F., Blavier, J.-F., Blumenstock, T., Coffey, M., De Mazière, M., Griffith, D., Hannigan, J., Hase, F., Jones, N., Jucks, K. W., Kagawa,
- A., Kasai, Y., Mebarki, Y., Mikuteit, S., Nassar, R., Notholt, J., Rinsland, C. P., Robert, C., Schrems, O., Senten, C., Smale, D., Taylor, J., Tétard, C., Toon, G. C., Warneke, T., Wood, S. W., Zander, R., and Servais, C.: Validation of ACE-FTS v2.2 measurements of HCl, HF, CCI3F and CCI2F2 using space-, balloon- and ground-based instrument observations, At-

4525

mos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 8, 3431–3495, 2008,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/3431/2008/.

- Mauldin, L. E. III, Zaun, N. H., McCormick, M. P., Guy, J. H., and Vaughn, W. R.: Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment II instruments: A functional description, Opt. Eng., 24, 307–312, 1985.
- McElroy, C. T., Nowlan, C. R., Drummond, J. R., Bernath, P. F., Barton, D. V., Dufour, D. G., Midwinter, C., Hall, R. B., Ogyu, A., Ullberg, A., Wardle, D. I., Kar, J., Zou, J., Nichitiu, F., Boone, C. D., Walker, K. A., and Rowlands, N.: The ACE-MAESTRO instrument on SCISAT: description, performance, and preliminary results, Appl. Optics, 46, 4341–4356, 2007.
- Michelsen, H. A., Irion, F. W., Manney, G. L., Toon, G. C., and Gunson, M. R.: Features and trends in ATMOS Version 3 water vapor and methane measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 22713–22724, 2000.
  - Michelsen, H. A., Manney, G. L., Irion, F. W., Toon, G. C., Gunson, M. R., Rinsland, C. P., Zander, R., Mahieu, E., Newchurch, M. J., Purcell, P. N., Remsberg, E. E., Russell III, J.
- M., Pumphrey, H. C., Waters, J. W., Bevilacqua, R. M., Kelly, K. K., Hintsa, E. J., Weinstock, E. M., Chiou, E.-W., Chu, W. P., McCormick, M. P., and Webster C. R.: ATMOS version 3 water vapour measurements: Comparisons with observations from two ER-2 Lyman-*α* hygrometers, MkIV, HALOE, SAGE II, MAS, and MLS, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D3), 4027, doi:10.1029/2001JD000587, 2002.
- Milz, M., von Clarmann, T., Fischer, H., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Höpfner, M., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Mengistu Tsidu, G., Steck, T., Stiller, G.P., Funke, B., and López-Puertas, M.: Water vapour distributions measured with the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding on board Envisat (MIPAS/Envisat) J. Geophys. Res., 110(D24), D24307, doi:10.1029/2005JD005973, 2005.
- Mote, P. W., Rosenlof, K. H., McIntyre, M. E., Carr, E. S., Gille, J. C., Holton, J. R., Kinnersley, J. S., Pumphrey, H. C., Russell III, J. M., and Waters, J. W.: An atmospheric tape recorder: The imprint of tropical tropopause temperatures on stratospheric water vapor, J. Geophys. Res., 101(D2), 3989–4006, 1996.
- Murtagh, D. P., Frisk, U., Merino, F., Ridal, M., Jonsson, A., Stegman, J., Witt, G., Eriksson, P.,
   Jiménez, C., Mégie, G., de la Noë, J., Ricaud, P., Baron, P., Pardo, J. R., Hauchecorne, A.,
   Llewellyn, E. J., Degenstein, D. A., Gattinger, R. L., Lloyd, N. D., Evans, W. F. J., McDade,
   I. C., Haley, C. S., Sioris, C., von Savigny, C., Solheim, B. H., McConnell, J. C., Strong,
   K., Richardson, E. H., Leppelmeier, G. W., Kyrölä, E., Auvinen, H., and Oikarinen, L.: An

overview of the Odin atmospheric mission, Can. J. Phys., 80, 309-319, 2002.

Nakajima, H., Suzuki, M., Matsuzaki, A., Ishigaki, T., Waragai, K., Mogi, Y., Kimura, N., Araki, N., Yokota, T., Kanzawa, H., Sugita, T., and Sasano, Y.: Characteristics and performance of the Improved Limb Atmospheric Spectrometer (ILAS) in orbit, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D24), 8213, doi:10.1029/2001JD001439, 2002.

- Nakajima, H., Sugita, T., Yokota, T., Ishigaki, T., Mogi, Y., Araki, N., Waragai, K., Kimura, N., Iwazawa, T., Kuze, A., Tanii, J., Kawasaki, H., Horikawa, M., Togami, T., Uemura, N., Kobayashi, H., and Sasano, Y.: Characteristics and performance of the Improved Limb Atmospheric Spectrometer-II (ILAS-II) on board the ADEOS-II satellite, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D11S01, doi:10.1029/2005JD006334, 2006.
  - Nassar, R., Bernath, P. F., Boone, C. D., Manney, G. L., McLeod, S. D., Rinsland, C. P., Skelton, R., and Walker, K. A.: Stratospheric abundances of water and methane based on ACE-FTS measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L15S04, doi:10.1029/2005GL022383, 2005a.
- Nassar, R., Bernath, P. F., Boone, C. D., Manney, G. L., McLeod, S. D., Rinsland, C. P., Skelton,
   R., and Walker, K. A.: ACE-FTS measurements across the edge of the winter 2004 Arctic vortex, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L15S05, doi:10.1029/2005GL022671, 2005b.
  - Nedoluha, G. E., Bevilacqua, L. M., Gomez, R. M., Waltman, W. B., Hicks, B. C., Thacker, D. L., and Matthews, W. A.: Measurements of water vapor in the middle atmosphere and implications for mesospheric transport, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 21 183–21 193, 1996.
- Nedoluha, G. E., Bevilacqua, R. M., Gomez, R. M., Waltman, W. B., Hicks, B. C., Thacker, D. L., Russell III, J. M., Abrams, M., Pumphrey, H. C., and Connor, B. J.: A comparative study of mesospheric water vapor measurements from the ground-based water vapor millimeter-wave spectrometer and space-based instruments, J. Geophys. Res., 102(D14), 16647–16661, doi:10.1029/97JD01095, 1997.
- Nedoluha, G. E., Bevilacqua, R. M., Gomez, R. M., Hicks, B. C., Russell III, J. M., and Connor, B. J. : An evaluation of trends in middle atmospheric water vapour as measured by HALOE, WVMS, and POAM, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D13), 4391, doi:10.1029/2002JD003332, 2003. Offermann, D., Grossmann, K. U., Barthol, P., Knieling, P., Riese, M., and Trant, R.: Cryogenic
  - Infrared Spectrometers and Telescopes for the Atmosphere (CRISTA) experiment and middle atmosphere variability, J. Geophys. Res., 104(D13), 16311–16325, 1999.
- Offermann, D., Schaeler, B., Riese, M., Langfermann, M., Jarisch, M., Eidmann, G., Schiller, C., Smit, H. G. J., and Read, W. G.: Water vapor at the tropopause during the CRISTA 2 mission, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D23), 8176, doi:10.1029/2001JD000700, 2002.

- Oltmans, S. J., Vömel, , H., Hofmann, D. J., Rosenlof, K. H., and Kley, D.: The increase in stratospheric water vapour from balloon-borne frostpoint hygrometer measurements at Washington, D.C. and Boulder, Colorado, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27(21), 3453–3456, 2000.
- Pumphrey, H. C., Clark, H. L., and Harwood, R. S.: Lower stratospheric water vapor measured by UARS MLS, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27(12), 1691–1694, doi:10.1029/1999GL011339, 2000.
- Randel, W. J., Wu, F., Oltmans, S. J., Rosenlof, K. H., and Nedoluha, G. E.: Interannual changes of stratospheric water vapour and correlations with tropical tropopause temperatures, J. Atmos. Sci., 61(17), 2133–2148, 2004.
- Reber, C. A., Trevathan, C. E., McNeal, R. J., and Luther, M. R.: The Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS) Mission, J. Geophys. Res., 98(D6), 10643–10647, 1993.
- Rosenlof, K. H., Chiou, E.-W., Chu, W. P., Johnson, D. G., Kelly, K. K., Michelsen, H. A., Nedoluha, G. E., Remsberg, E. E., Toon, G. C., and McCormick, M. P.: Stratospheric water vapour increases over the past half-century, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28(7), 1195–1198,2001.
- Russell III, J. M., Gordley, L. L., Park, J. H., Drayson, S. R., Hesketh, W. D., Cicerone, R.
  J., Tuck, A. F., Frederick, J. E., Harries, J. E., and Crutzen, P. J.: The Halogen Occultation Experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 98(D6), 10777–10797, doi:10.1029/93JD00799, 1993.
- Santee, M. L., Manney, G. L., Livesey, N. J., Froidevaux, L., MacKenzie, I. A., Pumphrey, H. C., Read, W. G., Schwartz, M. J., Waters, J. W., and Harwood, R. S.: Polar processing and development of the 2004 Antarctic ozone hole: First results from MLS on Aura, Geophys.
   Res. Lett., 32(12), L12817, doi:10.1029/2005GL022582, 2005.
- Seele, C. and Hartogh, P.: Water vapour of the polar middle atmosphere: annual variation and summer mesosphere conditions as observed by ground-based microwave spectroscopy. Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 2133–2136, 1999.
- Sherwood, S. C. and Dessler, A. E.: On the control of stratospheric humidity, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 2513–2516, 2000.
- Sica, R. J., Sargoytchev, S., Argall, P. S., Borra, E. F., Girard, L., Sparrow, C. T., and Flatt, S.: Lidar measurements taken with a large-aperture liquid mirror. 1. Rayleigh-scatter system, Appl. Optics, 34, 6925–6936, 1995.
- Sica, R. J., Izawa, M. R. M., Walker, K. A., Boone, C., Petelina, S. V., Argall, P. S., Bernath, P.,
  Burns, G. B., Catoire, V., Collins, R. L., Daffer, W. H., De Clercq, C., Fan, Z. Y., Firanski, B. J., French, W. J. R., Gerard, P., Gerding, M., Granville, J., Innis, J. L., Keckhut, P., Kerzenmacher, T., Klekociuk, A. R., Lambert, J. C., Llewellyn, E. J., Manney, G. L., McDermid, I. S., Mizutani, K., Murayama, Y., Piccolo, C., Robert, C., Steinbrecht, W., Strawbridge, K. B.,

Strong, K., Stubi, R., and Thurairajah, B.: Validation of the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE) version 2.2 Temperature Using Ground-based and Space-borne Measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 35–62, 2008,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/35/2008/.

10

- Sonnemann, G. R., Grygalashvyly, M., and Berger, U.: Autocatalytic water vapor production as a source of large mixing ratios within the middle to upper mesosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D15303, doi:10.1029/2004JD005593, 2005.
  - Summers, M. E., Conway, R. R., Siskind, D. E., Stevens, M. H., Offermann, D., Riese, M., Preusse, P., Strobel, D. F., and Russell III, J. M.: Implications of satellite OH observations for middle atmospheric H2O and ozone, Science, 277, 1967–1970, 1997.
- Summers, M. E., Conway, R. R., Englert, C. R., Siskind, D. E., Stevens, M. H., Russell III, J. M., Gordley, L. L., and McHugh, M. J.: Discovery of a water vapor layer in the Arctic summer mesosphere: implications for polar mesospheric clouds, Geophys Res. Lett., 28(18), 3601–3604, 2001.
- Taha, G., Thomason, L. W., and Burton, S. P.: Comparison of Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment (SAGE) II version 6.2 water vapour with balloon-borne and space-based instruments, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D18313, doi:10.1029/2004JD004859, 2004
  - Taylor, F. W., Barnett, J. J., Colbeck, I., Jones, R. L., Rodgers, C. D., Wale M. J., and Williamson, E. J.: Performance and early results from the Stratospheric and Mesospheric Sounder
- 20 (SAMS) on Nimbus 7, Adv. Space Res., 1(4), 261–265, doi:10.1016/0273-1177(81)90068-5, 1981.
  - Taylor, F. W., Rodgers, C. D., Whitney, J. G., Werrett, S. T., Barnett, J. J., Peskett, G. D., Venters, P., Ballard, J., Palmer, C. W. P., Knight, R. J., Morris, P., Nightingale, T., and Dudhia, A.: Remote sensing of the atmospheric structure and composition by pressure modulator ra-
- diometry from space: the ISAMS experiment on UARS, J. Geophys. Res., 98(D6), 10799–10814, doi:10.1029/92JD03029, 1993.
  - Thomason, L. W., Burton, S. P., Iyer, N., Zawodny, J. M., and Anderson, J.: A revised water vapour product for the Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment (SAGE II) version 6.2 data set, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D06312, doi:10.1029/2003JD004465,2004.
- <sup>30</sup> Urban, J., Lautié, N., Murtagh, D., Eriksson, P., Kasai, Y., Lossow, S., Dupuy, E., de La Noë, J., Frisk, U., Olberg, M., Le Flochmoën, E., and Ricaud, P.: Global observations of middle atmospheric water vapour by the Odin satellite: an overview, Planet. Space Sci., 55, 1093– 1102, 2007.

- Vömel, H., David, D. E., and Smith, K.: Accuracy of tropospheric and stratospheric water vapour measurements by the cryogenic frost point hygrometer: Instrumental details and observations, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D08305, doi:10.1029/2006JD007224, 2007.
- von Clarmann, T., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Höpfner, M., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Mengistu Tsidu, G., Milz, M., Steck, T., Stiller, G. P., Wang, D. Y., Fischer, H.,
- Funke, B., Gil-López, S., and López-Puertas, M.: Retrieval of temperature and tangent altitude pointing from limb emission spectra recorded from space by the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS), J. Geophys. Res., 108(D23), 4736, doi:10.1029/2003JD003602, 2003.
- von Zahn, U. and Berger, U.: Persistent ice cloud in the midsummer upper mesosphere at high latitudes: three-dimensional modeling and cloud interactions with ambient water vapour. J. Geophys. Res., 108(D8), doi:10.1029/2002JD002409, 2003.
  - Waters, J. W., Read, W. G., Froidevaux, L., Jarnot, R. F., Cofield, R. E., Flower, D. A., Lau, G. K., Pickett, H. M., Santee, M. L., Wu, D. L., Boyles, M. A., Burke, J. R., Lay, R. R., Loo, M.
- S., Livesey, N. J., Lungu, T. A., Manney, G. L., Nakamura, L. L., Perun, V. S., Ridenoure, B. P., Shippony, Z., Siegel, P. H., and Thurstans, R. P.: The UARS and EOS Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) Experiments, J. Atmos. Sci., 56(2), 194–218, doi:10.1175/1520-0469, 1999.

Coincidence	ACE Occultation	Distance (km)	Time (h:min)
30 June 2005	ss10130	776	0:24
1 Sep 2005	sr11060	228	1:01
2 Sep 2005	sr11075	854	1:14
5 May 2006	sr14686	925	1:31

 Table 1. Spatial-temporal coincidence of ACE-FTS overpasses with the Purple Crow Lidar.

 The distance in the 3rd column is to the 10 km point of the ACE-FTS measurement. The time in the 4th column is the time between the ACE-FTS overpass and the nearest PCL measurement.

4531



**Fig. 1.** Average profiles (thick lines) for all coincident measurements between ACE-FTS (black) and SAGE II (red). Thin lines are the profiles of standard deviations  $(1-\sigma)$  of the distributions, while error bars (often too small to be seen) represent the uncertainty in the mean  $(1-\sigma)$  divided by the square root of the number of comparisons).



**Fig. 2.** Standard deviations of the distributions, 1- $\sigma$ , relative to the mean H<sub>2</sub>O VMR at each altitude, for all coincident events, for ACE-FTS (black) and SAGE II (red).





**Fig. 3.** Average percent differences (solid line) between ACE-FTS and SAGE II relative to the average of the two instruments, for all coincidences. Dashed lines represent the standard deviation of the distribution of differences while error bars represent the uncertainty in the mean difference.



Fig. 4. Same as Fig. 1, but for HALOE.



Fig. 5. Same as Fig. 2, but for HALOE.



Fig. 6. Same as Fig. 3, but for HALOE.



Fig. 7. Same as Fig. 1, but for POAM III.



Fig. 8. Same as Fig. 2, but for POAM III.





Fig. 9. Same as Fig. 3, but for POAM III.



Fig. 10. Same as Fig. 1, but for MIPAS.

4541



Fig. 11. Same as Fig. 2, but for MIPAS.



Fig. 12. Same as Fig. 3, but for MIPAS.

4543



Fig. 13. Average profiles for all 2033 coincident measurements of ACE-FTS (black) and Odin-SMR (red).



Fig. 14. Difference between ACE-FTS and Odin-SMR average profiles. Dashed lines represent the uncertainty of the distribution of differences.





**Fig. 15.** Average percent differences (solid) between ACE-FTS and Odin-SMR relative to the average, for all coincidences. Dashed lines represent the uncertainty of the distribution of percent differences.



Fig. 16. ACE-FTS (blue) and NOAA/FP (red) profiles for the February/March periods for all years from 2004 to 2006, above Boulder, Colorado (40 N, 105.2 W).



Fig. 17. Same as Fig. 16 for April/May.



Fig. 18. Same as Fig. 16 for July/August.

4549



**Fig. 19.** ACE-FTS (blue) and CFH (red) profiles for the July/August 2005 period, above San Jose, Costa Rica (10 N, 84.21 W).



Fig. 20. ACE-FTS (blue) and CFH (red) profiles for the January 2005–March 2006 period, above Sodankylä, Finland (67.37 N, 26.63 E).





**Fig. 21.** PCL (blue) and ACE-FTS (red) profiles recorded on 30 June 2005. The horizontal lines are the respective errors on the measurements. For this coincidence ACE-FTS did not retrieve water vapour below 12 km.



Fig. 22. Same as Fig. 21 on 1 September 2005.





Fig. 23. Same as Fig. 21 on 2 September 2005.



Fig. 24. Same as Fig. 21 on 5 May 2006.





**Fig. 25.** Comparison of the ACE-MAESTRO (red) and ACE-FTS (black) median profiles for the latitudinal band 70–75 degrees north in October 2005 (autumn). The horizontal lines are the 1-sigma variability on the VMR at the different altitudes.



Fig. 26. Same as Fig. 25 for the 30 degrees north – 30 degrees south band.



Fig. 27. Same as Fig. 25 for the 35–60 degrees south band (spring).



Fig. 28. Same as Fig. 25 for the 60–70 degrees south band (spring).